

RESOLUTION NO. 1172

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, RATIFYING THE 2001-2002 AMENDMENTS TO THE KING COUNTY COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES.

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act requires King County to prepare and maintain Countywide Planning Policies; and

WHEREAS, King County and the cities in the county have prepared an interlocal agreement providing that the King County Countywide Planning Policies and amendments to the policies must be ratified by at least 30 percent of the local governments representing 70 percent of the population of King County before they are adopted; and

WHEREAS, King County and the cities in King County prepared and adopted the Countywide Planning Policies in 1992 and have amended the policies from time to time; and

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Planning Council, which contains representatives from King County, Seattle, and other cities in the county, has proposed amendments which make the following changes:

- GMPC Motion 02-4 adopting amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adding a new policy to support ongoing water supply planning and development.
- GMPC Motions 02-1, 02-2 and 02-3 adopting amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies adopting new household and employment targets for the period 2001 through 2022.

- GMPC Motion 02-5 adopting amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies amending the Urban Separator map to reflect negotiated modifications to the Renton Urban Separator.

- GMPC Motion 02-6 adopting amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies designating Totem Lake as an Urban Center.


- GMPC Motion 01-2 adopting amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies addressing the long-term protection of Agricultural Production Districts.

WHEREAS, these amendments will benefit the City of Redmond and the region for the reasons described above, now therefore;

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REDMOND, WASHINGTON, HEREBY RESOLVES that the 2001-2002 Amendments to the King County Countywide Planning Policies set forth in Exhibit 1 attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference as if set forth in full are hereby ratified by the City of Redmond.

RESOLVED this 15th day of July 2003.

CITY OF REDMOND


ROSEMARIE IVES, MAYOR

ATTEST/AUTHENTICATED:


BONNIE MATTSON, CITY CLERK

FILED WITH THE CITY CLERK: July 10, 2003
PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL: July 15, 2003
SIGNED BY THE MAYOR: July 15, 2003
EFFECTIVE DATE: July 15, 2003
RESOLUTION NO. 1172

September 25, 2002

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

/cm

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

MOTION NO. 02-4

A MOTION by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County recommending the amendment of the Countywide Planning Policies adding a new policy to support ongoing water supply planning and development.

WHEREAS, in July 2002, the Growth Management Planning Council approved additions and changes to the 1994 Countywide Planning Policies approving the countywide process developed to recommend a new 22-year household and employment target; and

WHEREAS, an amendment to add a new policy supporting ongoing water supply planning and development was considered and tabled; and

WHEREAS, the GMPC allowed reconsideration of the amendment at such time agreement could be reached on the language; and

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the county to encourage regional efforts to plan for and develop sufficient water supply sources to accommodate population growth and to meet environmental needs related to conservation of fish habitat.


**THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY
HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:**

Add a new policy to Section III C of the King County Countywide Planning Policies as follows:

FW-12c Ensuring sufficient water supply is essential to accommodate growth and conserve fish habitat. Due to the substantial lead-time required to develop water supply sources, infrastructure and management strategies, long-term water supply planning efforts in the Region must be ongoing.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on
September 25, 2002 in open session.



Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

July 24, 2002

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

/cm

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

MOTION NO. 02-1

A MOTION by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County recommending the amendment of the Countywide Planning Policies revising existing policies and adding new policies to support the extension of the household and employment targets for the period 2001-2022.

WHEREAS, in accordance with the Growth Management Act (GMA), the 1994 Countywide Planning Policies established a household and employment target range for each city and for King County through 2012; and

WHEREAS, the 1994 targets need to be extended to reflect projected growth through 2022 in accordance with the GMA (RCW 36 70A 110); and

WHEREAS, Countywide Planning Policy FW-3 states that the adopted household and employment targets shall be monitored by King County annually with adjustments made by the Growth Management Planning Council utilizing the process established in FW-1, Step 6; and

WHEREAS since February 2001 staff from King County and the cities in King County have worked cooperatively to analyze and recommend new 20-year household and employment targets; and

WHEREAS the Growth Management Planning Council met and discussed the extension of the household and employment targets for the period 2001-2022, with opportunity for public comment on March 28, 2001, July 25, 2001, October 24, 2001 and May 22, 2002.

**THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY
HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:**

Amend Sections III. C and III. F of the King County Countywide Planning Policies as follows:

III. Land Use Pattern

C. Urban Areas

1 The following policies establish an Urban Growth Area (UGA), determine the amount of
 2 household and employment growth to be accommodated within the UGA in the form of
 3 targets for each jurisdiction, and identify methods to phase development within this area in
 4 order to bring certainty to long-term planning and development within the County. All
 5 cities are included in the UGA, with the cities in the Rural Area identified as islands of
 6 urban growth. The (Urban-Growth-Area) UGA is a permanent designation. Land outside
 7 the (Urban-Growth-Area) UGA is designated for permanent rural and resource uses. (;
 8 except for the cities in the Rural Area)) Countywide Policies on Rural and Resource Areas
 9 are found in Chapter IIIA, Resource Lands, and Chapter IIIB, Rural Areas.

10
 11 In accordance with the State Growth Management Act (GMA) (36.70A.110), the State
 12 Office of Financial Management (OFM) provides a population projection to each county.
 13 The county, through a collaborative intergovernmental process established by the Growth
 14 Management Planning Council, allocates the population as growth targets to individual
 15 jurisdictions. Forecasts prepared by the Puget Sound Regional Council are used to
 16 establish the employment projection.

17
 18 The process for allocating targets in King County is as follows:

- 19
- 20 1. The PSRC employment forecasts are calculated for the four geographic subareas of
 21 the UGA (Sea-Shore, South, East, and Rural Cities). These then become subarea
 22 employment targets.
- 23 2. The jurisdictions collectively allocate the OFM population projection to the four
 24 subarea's based on the projected employment for each area. A small amount of
 25 growth is assumed to occur in the Rural area.
- 26 3. The technical staff translates the population projections into projected households,
 27 taking into account different average household sizes within each subarea. These
 28 projections then become subarea household targets.
- 29 4. Jurisdictions within each subarea negotiate the distribution of subarea household
 30 and employment targets using criteria based on Countywide Planning Policies.

31
 32 The housing capacity in the ((Urban-Growth-Area)) UGA ((for-growth)), based on adopted
 33 plans and regulations, ((meets-the)) should accommodate the projected 20-year
 34 growth((minimum requirement of the Growth Management Act according to the current
 35 population forecasts)). ((In the future, all urban-g))Growth is to be accommodated within
 36 permanent Urban Areas by increasing densities, as needed. Phasing ((is-to)) should occur
 37 within the ((Urban-Growth-Area)) UGA, as necessary, to ensure that services are provided
 38 as growth occurs. ((All cities are to be within the Urban-Growth-Area. Cities in the Rural
 39 Area are to be Urban-Growth-Area islands.))

40 FW-11 The land use pattern for King County shall protect the natural
 41 environment by reducing the consumption of land and concentrating
 42 development. An Urban Growth Area, Rural Areas, and resource lands
 43 shall be designated and the necessary implementing regulations
 44 adopted. This includes Countywide establishment of a boundary for the
 45 Urban Growth Area. Local jurisdictions shall make land use decisions
 46 based on the Countywide Planning Policies.
 47

1 FW-12 The Urban Growth Area shall provide enough land to accommodate
 2 future urban development. Policies to phase the provision of urban
 3 services and to ensure efficient use of the growth capacity within the
 4 Urban Growth Area shall be instituted.
 5

6 FW-12a All jurisdictions within King County share the responsibility to
 7 accommodate the 20-year population projection. The growth projection
 8 shall be assigned to the four subareas of King County (Sea-Shore, East,
 9 South, and the Rural Cities) proportionate with the share of projected
 10 employment growth. The growth shall be allocated pursuant to the
 11 following objectives:

- 12 a. To ensure efficient use of land within the UGA by directing growth to
 13 Urban Centers and Activity Centers;
- 14 b. To limit development in the Rural Areas;
- 15 c. To protect designated resource lands;
- 16 d. To ensure efficient use of infrastructure;
- 17 e. To improve the jobs/housing balance on a subarea basis;
- 18 f. To promote a land use pattern that can be served by public
 19 transportation and other alternatives to the single occupancy vehicle;
 20 and
- 21 g. To provide sufficient opportunities for growth within the jurisdictions.
 22

23 FW-12b The growth targets established pursuant to the methodology described in
 24 LU-25c and 25d shall be supported by both regional and local
 25 transportation investments. The availability of an adequate
 26 transportation system is critically important to accommodating growth.
 27 The regional responsibility shall be met by planning for and delivering
 28 county, state, and federal investments that support the growth targets
 29 and the land use pattern of the County. This includes investments in
 30 transit, state highways in key regional transportation corridors, and in
 31 improved access to the designated Urban Centers. The local
 32 responsibility shall be met by local transportation system investments
 33 that support the achievement of the targets.
 34

35 LU -- 25a Each jurisdiction shall plan for and accommodate the household and
 36 employment targets established pursuant to LU-25c and LU-25d. This
 37 obligation includes:

- 38 a. Ensuring adequate zoning capacity; and
- 39 b. Planning for and delivering water, sewer, transportation and other
 40 infrastructure, in concert with federal and state investments and
 41 recognizing where applicable special purpose districts; and
- 42 c. Accommodating increases in household and employment targets as
 43 annexations occur.
 44

45 The targets will be used to plan for and to accommodate growth within
 46 each jurisdiction. The targets do not obligate a jurisdiction to guarantee
 47 that a given number of housing units will be built or jobs added during the
 48 planning period.

1 LU25b As annexations occur, growth targets shall be adjusted. Household and
 2 employment targets for each jurisdiction's potential annexation area, as
 3 adopted in Table LU-1, shall be transferred to the annexing jurisdiction
 4 follows:

- 5
- 6 a. King County and the respective city will determine new household
 7 and employment targets for areas under consideration for
 8 annexation prior to the submittal of the annexation proposal to the
 9 King County Boundary Review Board;
- 10 b. A city's household and employment targets shall be increased by a
 11 share of the target for the potential annexation area proportionate to
 12 the share of the potential annexation area's development capacity
 13 located within the area annexed. Each city will determine how and
 14 where within their corporate boundaries to accommodate the target
 15 increases;
- 16 c. The County's target shall be correspondingly decreased to ensure
 17 that overall target levels in the county remain the same;
- 18 d. The household and employment targets in Table LU-1 will be
 19 updated periodically to reflect changes due to annexations. These
 20 target updates do not require adoption by the Growth Management
 21 Planning Council.

22

23 LU - ~~((67))~~ 25c ~~The target ((s and regulations)) objectives identified in ((LU-66))~~
 24 ~~FW-12a ((are based on)) shall be realized through the following ((steps))~~
 25 ~~methodology for allocating household targets:~~

- 26 a. ~~((The Growth Management Planning Council adopted the target~~
 27 ~~number of net new households to be accommodated Countywide~~
 28 ~~over the next 20 years as 195,000)) Determine the additional~~
 29 ~~population that must be accommodated countywide by calculating the~~
 30 ~~difference between the most recent Census count and the State~~
 31 ~~Office of Financial Management population projection for the end of~~
 32 ~~the twenty year planning period;~~
- 33 b. ~~((The interjurisdictional staff committee reported to the Growth~~
 34 ~~Management Planning Council or its successor target ranges for net~~
 35 ~~new households for each jurisdiction based on the following criteria:))~~
 36 ~~Subtract a percentage from that number to represent the amount of~~
 37 ~~growth that is assumed to occur in the unincorporated Rural Area;~~
 38 ~~((1. The capacity and condition of existing and forecast capital~~
 39 ~~facilities and utilities,~~
 40 ~~2. Proximity to major employment centers,~~
 41 ~~3. Access to existing and projected regional transit,~~
 42 ~~4. Capacity of undeveloped land and potential for redevelopment~~
 43 ~~given the character of existing development,~~
 44 ~~5. The need for a range of housing types,~~
 45 ~~6. Each jurisdiction's share of affordable housing as required by~~
 46 ~~affordable housing policies,~~
 47 ~~7. Consistency with the Countywide numbers;))~~

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
- c. Assign proportions of the urban population growth to each of the four subareas (Sea-Shore, South, East, and Rural Cities) based on the proportion of future employment growth forecasted for each of those subareas by the Puget Sound Regional Council;
 - d. Convert the estimated projected population for each subarea to an estimated number of households, using projected average household sizes that reflect the variation among those subareas observed in the most recent Census;
 - e. Allocate a household target to individual jurisdictions, within each subarea, based on FW-12a and considering the following factors:
 - 1. the availability of water and the capacity of the sewer system;
 - 2. the remaining portions of previously adopted household targets;
 - 3. the presence of urban centers and activity areas within each jurisdiction;
 - 4. the availability of zoned development capacity in each jurisdiction;

and

 - 5. the apparent market trends for housing in the area.

~~((e. The target ranges as shown in Appendix 2 were recommended by the Growth Management Planning Council, adopted and ratified pursuant to policy FW-1, Step 4e;))~~

~~((d. The target ranges in each jurisdiction's comprehensive plan shall be consistent with the target ranges in Appendix 2 or shall state the reasons for deviating from the target ranges;))~~

~~((e. Through the process established under FW-1 Step 4b, if the jurisdiction's comprehensive plan differs from the target, the Growth Management Planning Council may recommend amendments to either the Countywide Planning Policies or local plans.))~~
 - f. Jurisdictions shall plan for household targets as adopted in Table LU-1; and
 - ~~((f))g. Monitoring should follow the process described in policy FW-1.~~

34 *A portion of the urban employment growth will occur in Activity Areas and neighborhoods*
35 *in the Urban Area. This employment growth will support the Urban Centers, while*
36 *balancing local employment opportunities in the Urban Area.*

37
38
39 LU - ~~((68)) 25d~~ ~~((Target ranges for employment growth outside Urban Centers~~
40 ~~were established for cities and for unincorporated King County through~~
41 ~~the joint local and Countywide adoption process based on the following~~
42 ~~steps))~~ The target objectives identified in FW-12a shall be realized
43 through the following methodology for allocating employment targets:
44

- 45 a. ~~((The Growth Management Planning Council adopted the 20-year~~
46 ~~target number for employment growth as 347,400. The~~
47 ~~interjurisdictional staff committee developed preliminary recommenda-~~

1 tions for target ranges for employment growth inside and outside Urban
2 Areas for each jurisdiction based on the following criteria:

- 3
- 4 1. ~~Consistency with the Countywide numbers;~~
- 5 2. ~~The need to direct growth to Urban Centers based on consistency~~
- 6 ~~with the multiple Centers strategy;~~
- 7 3. ~~Access to regional rapid transit and existing highway and arterial~~
- 8 ~~capacity;~~
- 9 4. ~~Availabilities of undeveloped land and potential for redevelopment~~
- 10 ~~given the character of existing development;~~

11 The willingness of local jurisdictions to implement policies which
12 encourage transit such as single occupancy vehicle parking charges
13 and/or limits, transit, bicycle and pedestrian supportive design, and
14 the adoption of policies that encourage clustering of commercial and
15 residential areas)) Determine the number of jobs that must be
16 accommodated in each of the four subareas of King County (Sea-
17 Shore, South, East, and the Rural Cities) in accordance with the most
18 recent PSRC job estimates and forecasts for the 20-year planning
19 period. To account for uncertainty in the employment forecasts,
20 establish a range of new jobs that must be accommodated in each
21 subarea. Unless exceptional circumstances dictate, the range should
22 be 5% on either side of the PSRC forecast.

- 23 b. ((The target ranges as shown in Appendix 2 were recommended by
- 24 the Growth Management Planning Council, adopted and ratified
- 25 pursuant to policy FW-1, Step 4)) For each subarea, determine the
26 point within the range upon which jurisdictions within the subarea will
27 base their targets and allocate employment growth targets to
28 individual jurisdictions based on consideration of the following:
 - 29 1. the PSRC small area forecasts;
 - 30 2. the presence of urban centers, manufacturing/industrial
 - 31 centers, and activity areas within each jurisdiction;
 - 32 3. the availability of zoned commercial and industrial
 - 33 development capacity in each jurisdiction and;
 - 34 4. the access to transit, as well as to existing highways and
 - 35 arterials.

36 ((c. As a part of their comprehensive plans, all jurisdictions shall indicate
37 planned employment capacity and targeted increases in employment for
38 20 years inside and outside Urban Centers and shall show how their
39 plans reflect the criteria in this policy.))

40 ((d. Through the process established under FW-1 Step 4, if the
41 jurisdiction's comprehensive plan differs from the target range, the
42 Growth Management Planning Council or its successor may recommend
43 amendments to either the Countywide Planning Policies or local plans.))

44 c. Jurisdictions shall plan for employment targets as adopted in Table

45 LU-1.

46 (INSERT TABLE LU-1)

1 F. 1. Urban Residential Areas

2 *Urban residential areas form the bulk of the UGA, and are home to a large portion of the*
 3 *County's population. They will contain a mix of uses and will have different*
 4 *characteristics in different neighborhoods. Generally, the character, form, preservation*
 5 *and development of these areas ((is-a)) are the responsibility of the local jurisdiction ((at*
 6 *responsibility)). However, the residential areas need to support the Centers concept and*
 7 *provide sufficient opportunity for growth within the UGA. A substantial majority of new*
 8 *residential units will be constructed within urban residential areas.*

9
10
11 LU-66 In order to ensure efficient use of the land within the UGA, provide for
12 housing opportunities, and to support efficient use of infrastructure, each
13 jurisdiction shall:

- 14
15 a. Establish in its comprehensive plan a target minimum number of net
16 new households the jurisdiction will accommodate in the next 20
17 years in accordance with the adopted household growth targets
18 identified in Table LU-1. Jurisdictions shall adopt regulations to and
19 commit to fund infrastructure sufficient to achieve the target number;
20 b. Establish a minimum density (not including critical areas) for new
21 construction in each residential zone; and
22 c. Establish in the comprehensive plan a target mix of housing types for
23 new development and adopt regulations to achieve the target mix.
24

25 ~~LU-67 The targets and regulations in LU-66 are based on the following steps:~~

- 26 ~~a. The Growth Management Planning Council adopted the target~~
27 ~~number of net new households to be accommodated countywide as~~
28 ~~195,000;~~
29 ~~b. The interjurisdictional staff committee reported to the Growth~~
30 ~~Management Planning Council or its successor target ranges for net~~
31 ~~new households for each jurisdiction based on the following criteria:~~
32 ~~1. The capacity and condition of existing and forecast capital~~
33 ~~facilities and utilities;~~
34 ~~2. Proximity to major transit centers;~~
35 ~~3. Access to existing and projected regional transit;~~
36 ~~4. Capacity of undeveloped land and potential for redevelopment~~
37 ~~given the character of the existing development;~~
38 ~~5. The need for a range of housing types;~~
39 ~~6. Each jurisdiction's share of affordable housing as required by~~
40 ~~affordable housing policies;~~
41 ~~7. Consistency with the countywide numbers;~~
42 ~~c. The target ranges as shown in Appendix 2 were recommended by~~
43 ~~the Growth Management Planning Council, adopted and ratified~~
44 ~~pursuant to policy FW-1, Step 4 c;~~
45 ~~d. The target ranges in each jurisdiction's comprehensive plan shall be~~
46 ~~consistent with target ranges in Appendix 2 or shall state the reasons~~
47 ~~for deviating from the target ranges;~~

- 1 e. Through the process established under FW-1 Step 4b, if the
 2 jurisdiction's comprehensive plan differs from the target, the Growth
 3 Management Planning Council may recommend amendments to
 4 either the Countywide Planning Policies or local plans; and
 5 f. Monitoring should follow the process described in policy FW-1,
 6

7 **2. Urban Employment Growth**


8 *A portion of the urban growth will occur in Activity Areas and neighborhoods in the Urban*
 9 *Area. This employment growth will support the Urban Centers, while balancing local*
 10 *employment opportunities in the Urban Area.*

11
 12 LU-68 Target ranges for employment growth outside Urban Centers were
 13 established for cities for unincorporated King County through the joint local
 14 and countywide adoption process based on the following steps:

- 15 a. The Growth Management Planning Council adopted the 20-year
 16 target number for employment growth as 347,400. The
 17 interjurisdictional staff committee developed preliminary
 18 recommendations for target ranges for employment growth inside
 19 and outside Urban Areas for each jurisdiction based on the following
 20 criteria:
 21 1. Consistency with the countywide numbers;
 22 2. The need to direct growth to Urban Centers based on
 23 consistency with the multiple Centers strategy;
 24 3. Access to regional rapid transit and existing highway and arterial
 25 capacity;
 26 4. Availabilities of undeveloped land and potential for
 27 redevelopment given the character of existing development;
 28 5. The willingness of local jurisdictions to implement policies which
 29 encourage transit such as single occupancy vehicle charges
 30 and/or limits, transit, bicycle and pedestrian supportive design,
 31 and the adoption of policies that encourage clustering of
 32 commercial and residential areas;
 33 b. The target ranges as shown in Appendix 2 were recommended by
 34 the Growth Management Planning Council, adopted and ratified
 35 pursuant to policy FW-1, Step 4;
 36 c. As part of their comprehensive plans, all jurisdictions shall indicate
 37 planned employment capacity and targeted increases in employment
 38 for 20 years inside and outside Urban Centers and shall show how
 39 their plans reflect the criteria in this policy; and
 40 d. Through the process established under FW-1 Step 4, if the
 41 jurisdiction's comprehensive plan differs from the target range, the
 42 Growth Management Planning Council or its successor may
 43 recommend amendments to either the Countywide Planning Policies
 44 or local plans.
 45
 46
 47
 48

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on July 24,
2002 in open session.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15



Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

July 24, 2002

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

/cm

MOTION NO. 02-2

2 A MOTION by the Growth Management Planning Council of King
3 County recommending the amendment of the Countywide Planning
4 Policies adding targets for new household for the period 2001-2022
5 by deleting Appendix 2, 2A and 2B and amending Table LU-1: 2001-
6 2022 Household and Employment Growth Targets which will be
7 located in Section III. C of the Countywide Planning Policies.

8
9 WHEREAS, the 1994 Countywide Planning Policies established a housing target range for
10 each city and for King County; and

11
12 WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act requires the 1994 targets need to be revised to
13 establish an extension of the targets through 2022; and

14
15 WHEREAS the Growth Management Planning Council met and discussed the extension of
16 the household and employment targets for the period 2001-2022, with opportunity for
17 public comment on March 28, 2001, July 25, 2001, October 24, 2001 and May 22, 2002.


18 **THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY**
19 **HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:**

20
21 The attached Table LU-1: 2001-2022 Household and Employment Growth Targets
22 is hereby recommended for adoption in the Countywide Planning Policies to revise
23 the household growth targets to reflect the target extension from January 1, 2001
24 through December 31, 2022 and Appendix 2, 2A, 2B are recommended for
25 deletion.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

12
13

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on September 25, 2002 in open session.



Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

Attachment:

1. Table LU-1: 2001-2022 Household and Employment Growth Targets.

Table 10: King County 2001-2022 Household and Employment Targets						
Subareas	Household Target	HH Capacity in PAA*	PAA HH Target	Job Target	Job Capacity in PAA	PAA Job Target
<i>South King County</i>						
Algona	298					
Auburn	5,828	2,635	926			
Black Diamond	1,099					
Burien	1,552					
Covington	1,173					
Des Moines	1,576	5	2			
Federal Way	6,188	3,754	1,320			
Kent	4,284	1,763	619			
Milton	50	106	37			
Maple Valley	300					
Normandy Park	100					
Pacific	996	127	45			
Renton	6,198	5,622	1,976			
SeaTac	4,478	14	5			
Tukwila	3,200	13	5			
Unincorp King County	4,935					
Total	42,355	14,039	4,935			
<i>East King County</i>						
Beaux Arts Village	3					
Bellevue	10,117	184	178			
Bothell	1,751	603	584			
Clyde Hill	21					
Hunts Point	1					
Issaquah	3,993	827	802			
Kenmore	2,325					
Kirkland	5,480	770	747			
Medina	31					
Mercer Island	1,437					
Newcastle	863	1	1			
Redmond	9,083	402	390			
Sammamish	3,842					
Woodinville	1,869					
Yarrow Point	28					
Unincorp King County	6,801	**4222	**4099			
Total	47,645	7,009	6,801			
<i>Sea-Shore</i>						
Lake Forest Park	538					
Seattle	51,510					
Shoreline	2,651					
Unincorp King County***	1,670	1,670	1,670			
Total	56,369	1,670	1,670			
<i>Rural Cities</i>						
Carnation	246					
Duvall	1,037					
Enumclaw	1,927					
North Bend	636					
Skykomish	20					
Snoqualmie	1,697					
Total	5,563					
King County Total	151,932					

*PAA: Potential Annexation Area in Unincorporated King County Urban Area; **Bear Creek UPD; ***North Highline
 The Rural Cities' targets are for the current city limits and rural expansion area for each city. Thus the methodology for adjusting targets as annexations occur is not applicable to the rural cities.

1
2

July 24, 2002

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

/cm

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

MOTION NO. 02-3

A MOTION by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County recommending the amendment of the Countywide Planning Policies adding targets for new jobs for the period 2001-2022 by amending Table LU-1: 2001-2022 Household and Employment Growth Targets which will be located in Section III. C of the Countywide Planning Policies.

WHEREAS, the 1994 Countywide Planning Policies established an employment target range for each city and for King County; and

WHEREAS, the 1994 targets need to be revised to establish an extension of the targets through 2022 as required by the Growth Management Act.

WHEREAS the Growth Management Planning Council met and discussed the extension of the household and employment targets for the period 2001-2022, with opportunity for public comment on March 28, 2001, July 25, 2001, October 24, 2001 and May 22, 2002.


**THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY
HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:**

The attached Table LU-1: 2001-2022 Household and Employment Growth Targets is hereby recommended for adoption in the Countywide Planning Policies to revise the employment growth targets to reflect the target extension from January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2022.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

13
14
15

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on
September 25, 2002 in open session.



Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

Attachment:

1. Table LU-1: 2001-2022 Household and Employment Growth Targets.

King County 2001-2022 Household and Employment Targets						
Subareas	Household Target	HH Capacity in PAA*	PAA HH Target	Job Target	Job Capacity in PAA*	PAA Job Target
South King County						
Algona				108		
Auburn				6,079	252	252
Black Diamond				2,525		
Burien				1,712		
Covington				900		
Des Moines				1,695		
Federal Way				7,481	134	134
Kent				11,500	44	44
Milton				1,054		
Maple Valley				804		
Normandy Park				67		
Pacific				108		
Renton				27,597	458	458
SeaTac				9,288	496	496
Tukwila				16,000	497	497
Unincorp King County				2,582	701	701
Total				89,500	2,582	2,582
East King County						
Beaux Arts Village				-		
Bellevue				40,000	27	27
Bothell				2,000	174	174
Clyde Hill				-		
Hunts Point				-		
Issaquah				14,000	1	1
Kenmore				2,800		
Kirkland				8,800	221	221
Medina				-		
Mercer Island				800		
Newcastle				500		
Redmond				21,760	21	21
Sammamish				1,230		
Woodinville				2,000		
Yarrow Point				-		
Unincorp King County				4,637	**4193	**4193
Total				98,527	4,637	4,637
Sea-Shore						
Lake Forest Park				455		
Seattle				92,083		
Shoreline				2,618		
Unincorp King County***				694	1,544	694
Total				95,850	1,544	694
Rural Cities						
Carnation				75		
Duvall				1,125		
Enumclaw				1,125		
North Bend				1,125		
Skykomish				-		
Snoqualmie				1,800		
Total				5,250		
King County Total				289,127		

*PAA: Potential Annexation Area in Unincorporated King County Urban Area; **Bear Creek UPD; ***North Highline
 The Rural Cities' targets are for the current city limits and rural expansion area for each city. Thus the methodology for adjusting targets as annexations occur is not applicable to the rural cities.

October 23, 2002

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

MOTION NO. 02-5

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

A MOTION to amend the Urban Separator Map in the Countywide Planning Policies to reflect the negotiated modifications of the Renton Urban Separator.

WHEREAS, The Growth Management Act states that each Urban Growth Area shall permit urban densities and shall include greenbelt and open space areas;

WHEREAS, Urban Separators are an adopted regional strategy serving multiple functions and providing environmental, visual, recreational and wildlife benefits to the citizens and communities of King County;

WHEREAS, Consistent with the Countywide Planning Policies, the King County Comprehensive Plan recognizes that Urban Separators create open space corridors, provide a visual contrast to continuous development, and reinforce the unique identities of communities;

WHEREAS, King County has designated Urban Separators on the Land Use 2000 map in the King County Comprehensive Plan, and King County has provided advance copies of Urban Separator maps to cities that have designated Urban Separators located within their Potential Annexation Areas;

WHEREAS, the City of Renton disagreed with Urban Separator designation for 76 acres of land within its Potential Annexation Area; and

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Planning Council directed staff to attempt to negotiate a mutually acceptable resolution of this disagreement

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY
HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

The Urban Separators map included within the Countywide Planning Policies document is amended to reflect the negotiated modifications of the Renton Urban Separator described and mapped in the September 25, 2002 GMPC staff report. Specifically, 76 acres of unincorporated land is deleted from Urban Separator designation and 118.8 acres within the City of Renton shall be designated Urban Separator.

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on October 23, 2002 in open session.



Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

October 23, 2002

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

1

MOTION NO. 02-6

2

3

4

5

A MOTION to amend the Countywide Planning Policies by designating Totem Lake as an Urban Center. Totem Lake is added to the list of Urban Centers following Countywide Planning Policy LU-39.

6

7

8

9

10

WHEREAS, A goal of the Growth Management Act is to encourage development in Urban Areas where adequate public facilities exist or can be provided in an efficient manner;

11

12

13

WHEREAS, Policy LU-39 of the Countywide Planning Policies of King County describes the criteria for Urban Center designation;

14

15

16

WHEREAS, Policy LU-40 of the Countywide Planning Policies of King County describes standards for planned land uses within Urban Centers;

17

18

19

20

21

WHEREAS, the City of Kirkland has demonstrated that Totem Lake meets the criteria for designation as an Urban Center, and that Kirkland's "Totem Lake Activity Area" designated on the City's comprehensive plan land use map is consistent with the standards established by the Countywide Planning Policies for Urban Center designation.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33


WHEREAS, King County Comprehensive Plan Policy U-106 supports the development of Urban Centers to meet the region's needs for housing, jobs, services, culture and recreation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY
HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

Totem Lake is designated as an Urban Center. The list of Urban Centers following
Countywide Planning Policy LU-39 is modified to include Totem Lake.

ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on
October 23, 2002 in open session.



Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

September 26, 2001

Sponsored By: Executive Committee

/pr

1

MOTION NO. 01-2

2

A MOTION reaffirming Motion 99-3 passed by the GMPC on June 16, 1999 amending the Countywide Planning Policies to add new policies that address the long-term governance of Agricultural Production Districts.

3

4

5

6

WHEREAS, The Growth Management Act requires the maintenance, enhancement and conservation of agricultural industries and lands through a variety of methods and programs;

7

8

9

WHEREAS, King County residents have supported efforts to preserve good farmland and active farms for the value of local crops, dairy and livestock and for scenic and historic values;

10

11

12

WHEREAS, King County, through the Farmlands Preservation Program, has purchased the development rights of 12,600 acres of farmland and has established the Agricultural Production Districts (APDs) to further protect these and adjacent prime agricultural lands;

13

14

15

16

WHEREAS, the Lower Green River APD is completely surrounded by Urban designated lands and as such is under immense pressure for development and annexation; and

17

18

19

WHEREAS, King County and the City of Auburn have signed an interlocal agreement that removes the southern portion of the Lower Green APD out of the city's potential annexation area.

20

21

THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT PLANNING COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY HEREBY MOVES AS FOLLOWS:

22

23

24

Reaffirm the unanimous vote by this Council on June 16, 1999 to add the following new Countywide Planning Policies:

25

26

27

LU-2A Designated Agricultural Production District lands shall not be annexed by cities.

28

29

30

LU-2B The Lower Green River Agricultural Production District is a regionally designated resource that is to remain in unincorporated King County. Preservation of the Lower Green River Agricultural Production District will provide an urban separator as surrounding Urban areas are annexed and developed. King County may contract with other jurisdictions to provide some local services to this area as appropriate.

31

32

33

34

35

1
2 In the event that this motion is ratified by the member jurisdictions of Growth Management
3 Planning Council, then the Interim Potential Annexation Area Map shall be revised
4 accordingly and the Urban Growth Boundary will be drawn around the Lower Green
5 Agricultural Production District (APD) to clarify that the APD is outside of the Urban area.
6

7 ADOPTED by the Growth Management Planning Council of King County on September 26, 2001
8 in open session.
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16

Ron Sims, Chair, Growth Management Planning Council

17